

GEORGE G. MGDESYAN, CSB 225476
 ALI TAHERIPOUR, CSB 215872
 MGDESYAN & TAHERIPOUR
 15233 VENTURA BLVD., PENTHOUSE 10
 SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91403
 TELEPHONE: 818-386-6777
 FACSIMILE: 818-754-6778

JS - 6

Attorney for Plaintiffs
 Freddie Davis, Sr. And Linda Davis, individually
 and as successors-interest of Freddie Davis, Jr.; and
 Keyonte Davis

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDDIE DAVIS, SR. and LINDA DAVIS,
 as individuals and as the personal
 representatives and successors-in-interest of
 FREDDIE DAVIS, JR., and KEYONTE
 DAVIS, an individual,

Case No. 2:06-cv-08139-FMC-Ex

Honorable Florence-Marie Cooper

Plaintiffs,

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

vs.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a
 government entity; et al.

Defendants.

This action came on regularly for trial on Tuesday, August 4, 2009, in
 Department 750 of the above-entitled court, the Honorable Florence-Marie Cooper,
 Judge presiding. Plaintiffs Freddie Davis, Sr. and Linda Davis, as individuals and as
 successors-in-interest of Freddie Davis, Jr., and Keyonte Davis appeared through their
 attorneys George G. Mgdesyan and Ali Taheripour of Mgdesyan & Taheripour.
 Defendants County of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing appeared
 through their attorney Dennis M. Gonzalez of Lawrence Beach Allen & Choi, PC.

A jury of persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were

JUDGMENT ON JURY VERDICT

1 sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the Court
2 duly instructed the jury and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to
3 return a verdict on special issues.

4 The jury deliberated and thereafter returned with its verdict. The jury
5 answered the questions submitted to them as follows:

- 6
7 1. Did any Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department deputy use
8 unreasonable force on February 16, 2006?

9 Answer "Yes" or "No": Yes

- 10 2. Did the unreasonable force in violation of the Fourth Amendment of one
11 or more of the individual defendants cause damage, injury, loss or harm
12 to Plaintiffs?

13 Answer "Yes" or "No": Yes

- 14 3. What is the amount, if any, of damages caused to Plaintiffs as a result of
15 the unreasonable use of force in violation of the Fourth Amendment?

16 Freddy Davis, Sr.: \$ 1.25 million

17 Linda Davis: \$ 1.25 million

18 Keyonte Davis: \$ 150,000.00

- 19 4. If you found that Defendant James Tatreau used unreasonable force in
20 violation of the Fourth Amendment, do you find by clear and convincing
21 evidence that Defendant James Tatreau's conduct was malicious,
22 oppressive or in reckless disregard of the Plaintiffs' rights?

23 Answer "Yes" or "No.": Yes

- 24 5. If you found that Defendant Russell Helbing used unreasonable force in
25 violation of the Fourth Amendment, do you find by clear and convincing
26 evidence that Defendant Russell Helbing's conduct was malicious,
27 oppressive or in reckless disregard of the Plaintiffs' rights?
28

1 Answer "Yes" or "No.": Yes

2 6. If you answered "yes" to either Question # 4 or #5, what amount of
3 punitive damages do you impose?

4 Against Tatreau: \$ 2,500.00

5 Against Hebling: \$ 5,000.00

6 It appearing by reason of said verdict that Plaintiffs are entitled to Judgment against
7 Defendants County of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing.

8 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as
9 follows that:

10 (1) Plaintiff Freddie Davis, Jr., have and recover from Defendants
11 County of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing the sum of
12 \$1,250,000.00, with post-judgment interest thereon from the date of entry of
13 this Judgment until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

14 (2) Plaintiff Linda Davis have and recover from Defendants County
15 of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing the sum of \$1,250,000.00,
16 with post-judgment interest thereon from the date of entry of this Judgment
17 until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

18 (3) Plaintiff Keyonte Davis have and recover from Defendants County
19 of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing the sum of \$150,000.00,
20 with post-judgment interest thereon from the date of entry of this Judgment
21 until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

22 (4) In addition to the above recovery, Plaintiffs Freddie Davis, Sr.,
23 Linda Davis, and Keyonte Davis, as the prevailing parties, have and recover
24 from Defendants County of Los Angeles, James Tatreau, and Russell Helbing
25 costs of suit (pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54) in the sum of
26 \$_____ and attorneys fees (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1988) in the sum
27 of \$_____, with post-judgment interest thereon from the date of entry
28

1 of this Judgment until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

2 (5) In addition, Plaintiffs Freddie Davis, Sr., Linda Davis, and
3 Keyonte Davis have and recover from Defendant James Tatreau, only, the sum
4 of \$2,500.00 as punitive damages, with post-judgment interest thereon from the
5 date of entry of this Judgment until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961;

6 (6) In addition, Plaintiffs Freddie Davis, Sr., Linda Davis, and
7 Keyonte Davis have and recover from Defendant Russell Helbing, only, the
8 sum of \$5,000.00 as punitive damages, with post-judgment interest thereon
9 from the date of entry of this Judgment until paid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961.

10
11 DATED: October 13, 2009



12
13 HON. FLORENCE-MARIE COOPER

14 United States District Court Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28